

## Legislative smoking bans improve health outcomes

| Clinical Question          | How effective are legislative smoking bans on<br>morbidity and mortality from secondhand smoke, and<br>smoking prevalence and tobacco consumption?  |
|----------------------------|---|
| Bottom Line                | There was moderate-quality evidence that countries<br>and their populations benefited from enacting national<br>legislative smoking bans with improved health<br>outcomes from reduced exposures to passive smoke,<br>specifically cardiovascular disease (e.g. reduced<br>hospital admission rates). There was also low-quality<br>evidence of reduced mortality for smoking-related<br>illnesses. The evidence on perinatal and respiratory<br>health outcomes was not consistent, nor was the<br>evidence on potential reductions in tobacco<br>consumption. |
| Caveat                     | The nature of the intervention precluded randomised<br>controlled trials. Changes in health outcomes could<br>have been due to other things, such as change in<br>health care practices. However, many of the studies<br>did use methods of analysis that could control for<br>underlying trends.   |
| Context                    | Smoking bans have been implemented in a variety of<br>settings, as well as being part of policy in many<br>jurisdictions to protect the public and employees from<br>the harmful effects of secondhand smoke. They also<br>offer the potential to influence social norms and the<br>smoking behaviour of those populations they affect.   |
| Cochrane Systematic Review | Frazer K et al. Legislative smoking bans for reducing<br>harms from secondhand smoke exposure, smoking<br>prevalence and tobacco consumption. Cochrane<br>Reviews, 2016, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD005992.DOI:<br>10.1002/14651858. CD005992.pub3. This review<br>contains 77 studies involving 21 countries.  |

PEARLS No. 516, July 2016, written by Brian R McAvoy. C51